Naimark Report commissioned by the hospital and its documentary base. We also had access to key Apotex and hospital documents not available to the Naimark Review. We therefore believe we had a comprehensive set, from both sides, of relevant information regarding all players in the dispute. The central conclusions of our report were independently corroborated by the Dec. 19, 2001, report issued by the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario,5 who had the participation of some of those very individuals who declined to participate in our inquiry.

We would encourage your readers to read our report, along with the supplement discussing events since October 2001; both can be accessed at www.dal.ca/committeeofinguiry. Contrary to the suggestion in your editorial, the rights of "the study subject who volunteers in research" are judged to be a centrally important issue in our report; indeed, they drive the wideranging recommendations that we hope will be taken up by all of those responsible for the well-being of research participants in Canada.

Patricia Baird

Professor, Department of Medical Genetics University Distinguished Professor University of British Columbia Vancouver, BC

Jon Thompson

Professor and Chair Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of New Brunswick Fredericton, NB

Jocelyn Downie

Associate Professor Faculties of Law and Medicine Dalhousie University Halifax, NS

References

- Gibson E, Baylis F, Lewis S. Dances with the pharmaceutical industry [editorial]. CMAJ 2002:166(4):448-50.
- Naylor CD. The deferiprone controversy: time to move on [editorial]. CMAJ 2002;166(4):452-3.
- Shuchman M. The Olivieri dispute: No end in sight? CMA7 2002:166(4):487.
- Questions of interest [editorial]. CMA7 2002; 166(4):413
- College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. Complaints Committee Decision and Reasons, Dec.

19, 2001:17. Available: www.caut.ca/english /bulletin/2002_jan/default.asp (accessed 2002

Alzheimer's disease and herpes

repes simplex virus type 1 (HSV1) $oldsymbol{1}$ is present in latent form in the brains of a high proportion of elderly people¹ and is a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease in carriers of the type-4 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene (apoE-e4). ApoE-e4 is also a risk factor for cold sores.2,3 We have suggested that when HSV1 is reactivated in the nervous system the resulting damage is greater in apoE-e4 carriers than in people who carry the other apoE alleles. We recently detected antibodies to HSV1 in cerebrospinal fluid, substantiating our detection of HSV1 by polymerase chain reaction and showing that it does indeed reactivate (unpublished data). A clinical trial testing a synthetic amyloid peptide as immunotherapy for Alzheimer's disease was recently halted because 4 patients developed inflammation of the brain; in "some" of these 4 patients, a virus was detected in the cerebrospinal fluid.4

The results of René Verreault and colleagues⁵ raise the intriguing possibility that viruses other than HSV1 may directly influence Alzheimer's disease. Nonetheless, their findings could equally well be explained by an indirect effect: HSV1 reactivation can be triggered by inflammation, and vaccines would presumably prevent inflammation by preventing infection with the target virus, thus indirectly preventing HSV1 reactivation. Their study also supports the possibility that vaccination against HSV1 itself might prevent Alzheimer's disease; such vaccination is feasible now that the age at which primary infection occurs is rising. In fact, we have shown that vaccination of HSV1-infected mice with mixed HSV1 glycoproteins prevents establishment of latency in the brain.6

Finally, it would be interesting to know if the trend detected by Verreault and colleagues is dependent on the apoE-genotype. Such dependence has also been found for patients with herpes simplex encephalitis⁷ and for subjects infected with HIV but who have not yet developed AIDS.8

Ruth F. Itzhaki Curtis B. Dobson

Molecular Neurobiology Laboratory Department of Optometry and Neuroscience University of Manchester Institute of

Science and Technology Manchester, UK

References

- Jamieson GA, Maitland NJ, Wilcock GK, Craske J, Itzhaki RF. Latent herpes simplex virus type 1 in normal and Alzheimer's disease brains. 7 Med Virol 1991;33:224-7.
- Itzhaki RF, Lin WR, Shang D, Wilcock GK, Faragher B, Jamieson GA. Herpes simplex virus type 1 in brain and risk of Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 1997·349·241-4
- Lin WR, Graham J, MacGowan SM, Wilcock GK, Itzhaki RF. Alzheimer's disease, herpes virus in brain, apolipoprotein E4 and herpes labialis. Alzheimers Rep 1998;1:173-8.
- Elan and AHP provide an update on the phase 2A clinical trial of AN-1792. Dublin: Elan Corporation; 2002. Available: www.elan.com /NewsRoom/NewsYear2002/01182002.asp?Co mponentID=2330&Sour%20cePageID=2333#1 (accessed 2002 Mar 8).
- Verreault R, Laurin D, Lindsay J, De Serres G. Past exposure to vaccines and subsequent risk of Alzheimer's disease. CMAJ 2001;165(11):1495-8.
- Lin WR, Jennings R, Smith TL, Wozniak MA, Itzhaki RF. Vaccination prevents latent HSV1 infection of mouse brain. Neurobiol Aging 2001;
- Lin WR, Wozniak MA, Esiri MM, Klenerman P, Itzhaki RF. Herpes simplex encephalitis: involvement of apolipoprotein E genotype. 7 Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70:117-9
- Corder EH, Robertson K, Lannfelt L, Bogdanovic N, Eggertsen G, Wilkins J, et al. HIVinfected subjects with the E4 allele for APOE have excess dementia and peripheral neuropathy. Nat Med 1998;4:1182-4.

Spinal manipulation versus mobilization

The commentary by Edzard Ernst¹ alerts health professionals to the possible complications of cervical manipulation. However, we feel that the commentary would have been even more clinically relevant if it had emphasized to physicians the distinction between spinal manipulation techniques and mobilization techniques. Manipulation is defined as a smallamplitude, high-velocity thrust tech-