

Critical examination of incorporating prescription psychostimulants into the continuum of care for people with stimulant use disorder in Canada

In a recent article published in *CMAJ*, Drs. Palis and McDonald¹ present evidence from a systematic review to support a claim that prescription psychostimulants are not associated with serious adverse events in patients with stimulant use disorders.² Although this review offers valuable insights, it may not be sufficient to allow conclusions to be drawn about the safety and efficacy of prescribed psychostimulants in real-world settings. It is crucial to assess whether the results can be generalized to broader populations, particularly considering the complexities and variability in stimulant use disorder cases.

In our retrospective cohort study of 30 227 people,³ we observed that the use of prescriptions for oral stimulants to treat cocaine use did not yield clinically significant benefits among patients receiving opioid agonist therapy in a real-world setting. In fact, patients who received prescriptions for oral stimulants showed an increase in cocaine consumption compared with patients without an oral stimulant prescription. We considered that the lack of impact on cocaine use may be attributed to the potential need for higher doses of psychostimulants to yield more substantial effects, which, in turn, increases the risk of adverse effects, including heart attacks and strokes.

Two additional important factors not discussed by Palis and McDonald warrant consideration. First, the existing literature does not provide clear guidance on the appropriate duration of prescriptions of oral stimulants, even when benefits are observed. Prolonged use of these medications without specific guidelines may lead to an increased risk of adverse effects. Second, Palis and MacDonald emphasized the substantial risk of diversion, and they proposed strategies such as delaying take-home dosing, regular monitoring for adverse events and urine drug screening. However, it is important to note that these strategies have not been practically implemented in the context of treatment for stimulant use disorder, and currently no standardized procedures exist for prescribers to adopt these strategies or evaluate their effectiveness. Given these uncertainties, it is crucial that we approach this topic with caution and carefully consider the potential implications to safeguard the safety and well-being of patients.

Addressing the unregulated drug supply is a critical aspect of managing stimulant use disorders. However, any approach to integrating prescribed stimulants into the continuum of care should be thoroughly evaluated, considering the potential unintended consequences. Rigorous real-world research is needed to maintain a critical perspective, understand the full scope of efficacy when prescribing oral stimulants and to clarify the risk–benefit decision-making and develop evidence-based clinical use guidelines before widely recommending the strategy of prescribing oral stimulants for stimulant use disorders.

Kristen A. Morin PhD MPH

Postdoctoral fellow, Northern Ontario School of Medicine, Sudbury, Ont.; assistant professor, Northern Ontario School of Medicine University

Tara Leary MD

Addiction medicine physician, Health Sciences North, Sudbury, Ont.

David C. Marsh MD CCSAM

Addiction medicine physician, assistant professor and vice-dean, Research Innovation and International Relations, Northern Ontario School of Medicine University, Sudbury, Ont.

■ Cite as: *CMAJ* 2023 October 10;195:E1355. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.149149

References

1. Palis H, MacDonald S. Incorporating prescription psychostimulants into the continuum of care for people with stimulant use disorder in Canada. *CMAJ* 2023;195:E934-5.
2. Tardelli VS, Bisaga A, Arcadepani FB, et al. Prescription psychostimulants for the treatment of stimulant use disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2020; 237:2233-55.
3. Tatangelo M, Tahsin F, Morin KA, et al. Association of prescribed oral stimulants on cocaine use among patients enrolled in opioid agonist treatment: A retrospective longitudinal cohort study. *Front Psychiatry* 2022;13:1074691.

Competing interests: None declared.

Content licence: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original publication is properly cited, the use is noncommercial (i.e., research or educational use), and no modifications or adaptations are made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>