



the "recipe" for the enemas, which are easily manufactured by hospital pharmacies.

My final comment is about the target audience for this book. When I was invited to write the book, the goal was to create a user-friendly, comprehensive text for patients and their families. Reviews to date indicate that this objective was achieved. However, colleagues have pointed out to me that the book is also very useful for medical students, house staff, family practitioners, general internists and other health care professionals.

#### Fred Saibil, MD

Head  
Division of Gastroenterology  
Sunnybrook Health Science Centre  
Associate Professor of Medicine  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, Ont.

#### References

1. Bjorck S, Dahlstrom A, Johansson L, Ahlman H. Treatment of the mucosa with local anaesthetics in ulcerative colitis. *Agents Actions* 1992;C60:72.
2. Harig JM, Soergel KH, Komorowski RA, Wood CM. Treatment of diversion colitis with short-chain-fatty acid irrigation. *N Engl J Med* 1989;320:23-8.
3. Steinhart AH, Brzezinski A, Baker JP. Treatment of refractory ulcerative proctosigmoiditis with butyrate enemas. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1994;89:179-83.
4. Patz J, Jacobsohn WZ, Gottschalk-Sabag S, Zeides S, Braverman DZ. Treatment of refractory distal ulcerative colitis with short chain fatty acid enemas. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;91:731-4.

### Modifying prescribing of regulated analgesics

In response to our previous article "Effectiveness of notification and group education in modifying prescribing of regulated analgesics" (*Can Med Assoc J* 1996;154:31-9), by John F. Anderson, Kimberley L. McEwan and William P. Hrudefy, it has been suggested that longer follow-up may reveal important differences between the education and the notification intervention with respect to reducing

prescribing of regulated analgesics.<sup>1</sup> To this end, we have examined prescribing data for the 7 to 12 months after the intervention by conducting a 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the difference scores in prescribing between baseline and 1-year follow-up. The original article had examined prescribing patterns after only 6 months in 3 groups of physicians: those who underwent group education, those who were notified of their prescribing status, and those subject to no intervention (the control group). At that time, prescribing of analgesics was significantly reduced in both intervention groups compared with the control group, but no statistically significant difference was found between the education group and the notification group.

Results of the ANOVA based on 1-year follow-up data revealed no overall difference among the groups, suggesting that reductions in prescribing seen after 6 months diminished over time. Although at 1-year follow-up the prescribing practices of the physicians exposed to the interventions were no longer significantly different from those of the control group, there was a trend similar to that found in the first study. The mean difference scores were aligned with the intensity of the intervention, with education showing the greatest reduction and no intervention (the control group) showing the least. We also noted that 76% of the education group, 65% of the notification group and 53% of control group continued to prescribe narcotic analgesics at a rate lower than their rate at baseline. In a larger sample, these differences may have emerged as significant.

We attempted to determine whether group education was superior to notification in reducing prescribing of regulated analgesics over a 1-year period in a sample of 49 physicians and found no support for this hypothesis. We acknowledge, however, that our limited sample size may

not have been adequate to test Britten's hypothesis. The durability of interventions to alter prescribing warrants further investigation.

#### John F. Anderson, MD

Adult Clinical and Addictions Services Branch  
British Columbia Ministry of Health  
and Ministry Responsible for Seniors  
**Kimberley L. McEwan**  
Department of Psychology  
University of Victoria  
Adult Clinical and Addictions Services Branch  
British Columbia Ministry of Health  
and Ministry Responsible for Seniors  
Victoria, BC  
Received via e-mail

#### Reference

1. Britten N. Letter from a licensing body and group education reduced prescribing of regulated analgesics [commentary]. *ACP J Club* 1996;125:25.

### When physicians' loved ones are patients

Dr. Michael C. Klein's thoughtful and courageously written article, "Too close for comfort? A family physician questions whether medical professionals should be excluded from their loved ones' care" (*Can Med Assoc J* 1997;156:53-5), struck a nerve. It has been 3½ years since my wife Kathy had a myocardial infarction, and we too had both good and bad experiences with the medical and nursing professions. I still cannot think about those experiences without feeling a great deal of anger toward those who treated us poorly and gratitude that we finally found a team that gave us high-quality care. Even now, it is hard for me to write about it.

I will not go into the details of our experience, but I will make some general observations. I am a pediatrician and my wife is a nurse who used to work in intensive care. When she became ill, the staff at the first hospital resented what they described as my "omnipresence." They could not



see that Kathy was frightened and could not relax without a friendly face around. As a pediatrician, I accept the presence of family by the bedside as routine, but somehow in adult medicine this is considered bizarre. That I was seen as a threat was obvious; only a few physicians spoke to us like human beings.

When Kathy was discharged, our family physician was a source of comfort as well as care. However, the specialist who cared for her showed his discomfort by resorting to humour. I felt that he was not listening to my concern that Kathy was experiencing unstable angina. It turned out that she was and that she required a quintuple bypass graft.

That operation was performed at St. Paul's Hospital in Vancouver, and I cannot say enough about the staff there. The physicians and nurses at St. Paul's enlisted me as an ally. The nurses called Kathy their nursing sister and gave her excellent care. It was a refreshing change.

I too had problems with colleagues who felt that I was harassing them about various aspects of her care. Some could handle the acute care but had difficulty dealing with the residual effects of the disease, particularly the emotional aspects.

I think that most physicians who

have seen their spouse become critically ill have had similar experiences. I believe that Kathy has become a better nurse and that I have become a better physician as a result. I do not have an answer to Klein's question about how to be vigilant but not overbearing. At times relatives of the sick must be both, especially when dealing with professionals who will not listen.

**Jonathan D. Slater, MD**

Kamloops, BC

Received via e-mail

**I** am grateful to Dr. Klein for raising the issue of family involvement in medical care. I suspect it rings bells with most physicians. I also have some experience with this subject and have a suggestion.

Why not keep the patient's chart in his or her room and let the patient decide who may look at it? Nothing would prevent daily charting duties from being performed in the nursing station and those sheets being added at the end of each day. I suspect that the legibility of records would improve. Even a nonmedical family member could then detect the contradiction between a "no added salt" dietary order and an order for extra Oxo cubes at each meal. I think such

a measure would help solve many communication difficulties between family members and hospital staff. It would certainly make the experience of hospital care less opaque.

**Anthony R. Wells, MD**

Toronto, Ont.

## Canada's largest magnet finds home in new MRI program in London [correction]

**B**ecause of incorrect information supplied to the author, this article by Michael O'Reilly (*Can Med Assoc J* 1997;156:69-70) contained some errors. Dr. Seiji Ogawa, whose surname was misspelled, developed the principles behind functional MRI (fMRI) at AT&T Bell Laboratories, and then worked with Dr. Kamil Ugurbil's MRI research group at the University of Minnesota to produce one of the seminal papers demonstrating fMRI in humans. Although Dr. Ravi Menon was involved in the project as a postdoctoral fellow, he did not codevelop the technique. As well, the system was built by Siemens Medical Systems, but in conjunction with Varian NMR Instruments. We apologize for these errors. — Ed.

### Submitting letters

Letters must be submitted by mail, courier or e-mail, not by fax. They must be signed by all authors and limited to 300 words in length. Letters that refer to articles must be received within 2 months of the publication of the article. *CMAJ* corresponds only with the authors of accepted letters. Letters are subject to editing and abridgement.

### Note to e-mail users

E-mail should be addressed to [pubs@cma.ca](mailto:pubs@cma.ca) and should indicate "Letter to the editor of *CMAJ*" in the subject line. A signed copy must be sent subsequently *CMAJ* by fax or regular mail. Accepted letters sent by e-mail appear in the Readers' Forum of *CMA Online* immediately, as well as being published in a subsequent issue of the journal.

### Pour écrire à la rédaction

Prière de faire parvenir vos lettres par la poste, par messenger ou par courrier électronique, et non par télécopieur. Chaque lettre doit porter la signature de tous ses auteurs et avoir au maximum 300 mots. Les lettres se rapportant à un article doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

### Aux usagers du courrier électronique

Les messages électroniques doivent être envoyés à l'adresse [pubs@cma.ca](mailto:pubs@cma.ca). Veuillez écrire «Lettre à la rédaction du *JAMC*» à la ligne «Subject». Il faut envoyer ensuite, par télécopieur ou par la poste, une lettre signée pour confirmer le message électronique. Une fois une lettre reçue par courrier électronique acceptée pour publication, elle paraîtra dans la chronique «Tribune des lecteurs du *JAMC*» d'*AMC En direct* tout de suite, ainsi que dans un numéro prochain du journal.