there is simply not enough public money available to supply the level of care that Canadians expect and physicians expect to supply. Unless private funds enter the system, the inevitable conclusion is that the government will continue to look at cutting payments to physicians as the way to achieve a balanced budget.

One fact that is usually ignored was outlined in a report presented to the OMA council in 1995.[1] It stated that in the 15 European countries studied, the average patient copayment for physician services was 19%. Copayments based upon income and an annual ceiling would not be restrictive or create undue hardship, and would not necessarily create a two-tier system. Carver is hopeful that savings can be found through more efficient health care delivery, which will let Canada avoid the introduction of private money into the system. This does not seem realistic in an open-ended market in which patients bear no responsibility for the resources they demand.

Her article implies an unrealistic expectation of new graduates. Would Carver mind if her billing number were moved to a far-northern community tomorrow? Billing-number restrictions violate almost every physician's professional rights. In Ontario the government has not acted on viable proposals to rectify relative underservicing, the most recent being an extensive report from the Professional Association of Internes and Residents of Ontario. No other profession has had restrictions on practice location applied to them.

Everyone in society may indeed be facing uncertainty, as Carver points out, but this should not stop efforts to maintain our professional viability and freedom.

Paul Leger, MD
Lakefield, Ont.

Reference

1. Scully H (chair), Subcommittee on Health Care Funding. *Health care system reform* [discussion paper]. Toronto: Ontario Medical Association; 1995:21.

Dr. Carver's article was a welcome and timely comment on the privatization of Canada's health care system. The unbridled enthusiasm of many Canadian physicians for a two-tier health care system, as expressed at the CMA's 1996 annual meeting, obviously caused consternation among the public, to the point that physicians were the object of derision in the media.

We urge Canadian physicians to examine the recent changes that have taken place in the US because of managed care. There, the autonomy of both private-practice and academic physicians has been increasingly eroded by private insurance providers. Having worked in the US and subsequently returned to Canada, we feel there is no question that a single-payer system is the only means of providing health care that is both equitable and of acceptable quality.

It is inevitable that health care in Canada will see itself streamlined in the future. However, let us ensure that it is physicians, other health care providers and the public, and not private insurance companies, that determine how modifications are made to health care delivery in Canada.

Christopher Power, MD
Joan Sametz, MD
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Man.

Hockey helmets work if you wear them [correction]

This item in the News and Analysis section (*Can Med Assoc J* 1997;156:340) contained an incorrect date. It should have read: "In 1992–93, only 31 eye injuries . . ." — Ed.

Submitting letters

Letters must be submitted by mail, courier or e-mail, not by fax. They must be signed by all authors and limited to 300 words in length. Letters that refer to articles must be received within 2 months of the publication of the article. *CMAJ* corresponds only with the authors of accepted letters. Letters are subject to editing and abridgement.

Note to e-mail users

E-mail should be addressed to **pubs@cma.ca** and should indicate "Letter to the editor of *CMAJ*" in the subject line. A signed copy must be sent subsequently *CMAJ* by fax or regular mail. Accepted letters sent by e-mail appear in the Readers' Forum of *CMA Online* immediately, as well as being published in a subsequent issue of the journal.

Pour écrire à la rédaction

Prière de faire parvenir vos lettres par la poste, par messager ou par courrier électronique, et non par télécopieur. Chaque lettre doit porter la signature de tous ses auteurs et avoir au maximum 300 mots. Les lettres se rapportant à un article doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

Aux usagers du courrier électronique

Les messages électroniques doivent être envoyés à l'adresse **pubs@cma.ca**. Veuillez écrire «Lettre à la rédaction du *JAMC*» à la ligne «Subject». Il faut envoyer ensuite, par télécopieur ou par la poste, une lettre signée pour confirmer le message électronique. Une fois une lettre reçue par courrier électronique acceptée pour publication, elle paraîtra dans la chronique «Tribune des lecteurs du *JAMC*» d'*AMC En direct* tout de suite, ainsi que dans un numéro prochain du journal.