



its in Safety Code 6 and other international standards (as were measured in the schools), then our conclusion seems justified.

To clarify another point, we made no attempt in this study to compare the measured levels of radiofrequency radiation to background radiation levels. Although it is true that the radiofrequency radiation levels measured in our study are much higher than naturally occurring (background) levels, this is necessary to make a radio system function properly.

Finally, with reference to Cridland's last remark, it has been known for some time that the physical properties and effects of x-radiation and radiofrequency radiation on matter are vastly different. As Cridland implies, ionizing radiation (x-rays) at low intensities possess sufficient energy to directly break chemical bonds in material such as DNA. This is not the case with the radiofrequency radiation investigated in this study, which possesses a photon energy at least 6 orders of magnitude lower than that of x-rays.

Artnarong Thansandote, PhD
Gregory B. Gajda, MASC
Radiation Protection Bureau
Health Canada
Ottawa, Ont.

Reference

1. Thansandote A, Gajda GB, Lecuyer DW. Radiofrequency radiation in five Vancouver schools: exposure standards not exceeded. *CMAJ* 1999;160(9):1311-2.

Imaging errors

I have read with interest the recent *CMAJ* series on tuberculosis. As a radiologist, however, I feel compelled to comment on the article on extrapulmonary tuberculosis.¹

Fig. 1 does not show left mid-ureteral narrowing and upper tract dilatation. It shows multifocal right ureteral disease and irregularity of the urinary bladder wall. There may be upper urinary tract dilatation, but this is mostly obscured.

Fig. 2 does not show narrowing of the L3-L4 disk, nor does it show a filling defect in the intrathecal contrast. The narrowing is at L4-L5, where there are changes associated with discitis. The intrathecal contrast shows extrinsic compression on the thecal sac at this level; a filling defect implies an intrathecal abnormality.

Fig. 3 does not show miliary nodules. These may be present on the original film but are not evident on this poorly reproduced image. A magnified view of one portion of the lung, carefully reproduced, would be necessary to show miliary nodules.

Fig. 4 shows a destructive process within the bone rather than inflammation of the meninges. The meninges are not seen on bone-windowed CT images. Inflamed meninges can generally be seen only on contrast-enhanced MRI scans.

Given the importance of imaging to modern diagnosis, and the ease with

which high-quality images can be made and reproduced in the electronic era, there is no excuse for poor reproductions and errors such as these. The title page affirms that this article has been peer reviewed; I assume that none of these peers has expertise in imaging. Perhaps *CMAJ* would be better served by ensuring review of diagnostic images by a radiologist before publication.

John Clark, MD CM
St. Michael's Hospital
Toronto, Ont.

Reference

1. Fanning A. Tuberculosis: 6. Extrapulmonary disease. *CMAJ* 1999;160(11):1597-603.

[The author responds:]

John Clark is correct that the imaging of the tuberculous lesions would have been much more accurately described had an expert in imaging been involved at the point of peer review.

In Fig. 1, the narrowing at mid ureter is indeed obscured. The changes in the right ureter are in fact present, but they were less obvious in the initial illustration than the obstructed left ureter. In Fig. 2, the error in calling the lumbar lesion 3-4 instead of 4-5 was mine. In Fig. 3 the miliary lesions were apparent in the film but lost definition in the printing process. In Fig. 4 the bone lesion is indeed the most obvious one.

Clark points out the critical importance of imaging in the diagnosis of tuberculosis. Without daily interaction with radiologists I would be unable to function. Would that I had consulted them in the final drafting of the paper.

Anne Fanning
Division of Infectious Diseases
University of Alberta Hospital
Edmonton, Alta.

Dialysis patients with tuberculosis

Pour écrire à la rédaction

Prière de faire parvenir vos lettres par la poste, par messenger, par courrier électronique ou par télécopieur. Chaque lettre doit porter la signature de tous ses auteurs et avoir au maximum 300 mots. Les lettres se rapportant à un article doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

Aux usagers du courrier électronique

Les messages électroniques doivent être envoyés à l'adresse pubs@cma.ca. Veuillez écrire «Lettre à la rédaction du *JAMC*» à la ligne «Subject». Il faut envoyer ensuite, par télécopieur ou par la poste, une lettre signée pour confirmer le message électronique. Une fois une lettre reçue par courrier électronique acceptée pour publication, elle paraîtra dans la chronique «Tribune des lecteurs du *JAMC*» d'*AMC En direct* (www.cma.ca) tout de suite, ainsi que dans un numéro prochain du journal.



We noted with interest the prevalence projections by Douglas Schaubel and colleagues for end-stage renal disease in Canada to 2005 and their impact on health care utilization.¹ We would like to highlight an additional comorbid condition that will likely affect the management of these patients.

We recently established, for the first time on a population basis, the risk of tuberculosis (TB) among dialysis patients in British Columbia.² All cases of TB in British Columbia are reported to Tuberculosis Control, and specific risk factors for TB including dialysis are identified. Likewise, all individuals receiving dialysis are registered in a central registry. We compared the dialysis and TB registries for the study period and identified all confirmed active cases of TB. We determined that the risk of TB among the dialysis population is 25.3 (95% confidence interval 22.86–31.49, $p < 0.001$) times greater than that for a similar age-matched

population.

This marked increase in the risk of active TB brings with it 2 important messages. Dialysis patients should be screened for the presence of tuberculous infection; although a significant proportion of patients may be anergic, many retain their ability to mount a response.³ In the presence of a positive purified protein derivative (PPD) response, isoniazid chemoprophylaxis should be strongly considered. We recently reported the therapeutic option of twice weekly, directly observed chemoprophylaxis⁴ and in the context of hemodialysis this represents an ideal way to ensure completion of therapy. Our finding should also alert physicians to the importance of considering TB in the presence of fever in their dialysis patients.

J. Mark FitzGerald, MD

R. Kevin Elwood, MB

S. Chia, MD

Tuberculosis Control

BC Centre for Disease Control Society
Vancouver, BC

References

1. Schaubel DE, Morrison HI, Desmeules M, Parsons DA, Fenton SSA. End-stage renal disease in Canada: prevalence projections to 2005. *CMAJ* 1999;160(11):1557-63.
2. Chia S, Karim M, Elwood RK, FitzGerald JM. Risk of tuberculosis in dialysis patients: a population based study. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1998;2:989-91.
3. Adler JJ, Patt C, Seckler Smirnoff M. Tuberculin and anergy skin-testing of chronic hemodialysis patients [abstract]. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155(Suppl):A22.
4. Heal G, Elwood RK, FitzGerald JM. Acceptance and safety of directly observed versus self-administered isoniazid preventive therapy in aboriginal peoples in British Columbia. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1998;2:979-83.

Correction

In Table 2 of the recent article by Tej Sheth and colleagues,¹ the death rate for men of European origin in the category "other cardiovascular disease" should have been 105.9, not 05.9. We regret this error.