"Sorry, doc, I forgot all about that"

I have intermittently been reading the conclusions from the Canadian Consensus Conference on Dementia.¹ The thoroughgoing effort of Christopher Patterson and colleagues to manufacture concrete from Jello has provided me with considerable amusement during breaks in my current attempt to practise rational medicine with limited resources in rural Africa.

I have a comment related to recommendation 25: "Primary care physicians should notify licensing bodies of concern regarding competence to drive ... unless the patient gives up driving voluntarily." Until the end of 1997, I worked as a primary care physician and advisory physician at 2 long-term care facilities in London, Ont. From my Canadian practice experience, the recommendation should have been that "primary care physicians should notify licensing bodies of concern regarding competence to drive ... even if the patient gives up driving voluntarily."

Promises to give up driving voluntarily are subject to erosion by the genuine or conveniently exaggerated effects of short-term memory deficits: "Sorry, doc, I forgot all about that." My usual policy was to approve of the patient's wise decision to give up driving voluntarily but to tell him or her that I was required to inform the Ministry of Transportation anyway, just to keep everyone honest.

I never received an adverse reaction to this strategy; when I didn't use this approach, however, I sometimes encountered awkward situations involving practical inconvenience (including personally having to disable vehicles and having to confiscate driver's licences) and obvious potential medicolegal problems.

If ever the recommendations are revised, I would appreciate it if the committee would take into account my two cents' worth.

James D.F. Harris

Brong Ahafo, Ghana

Reference

 Patterson CJS, Gauthier S, Bergman H, Cohen CA, Feightner JW, Feldman H, et al. Management of dementing disorders: conclusions from the Canadian Consensus Conference on Dementia. CMA7 1999;160(12 Suppl):S1-S20.

[One of the authors responds:]

J ames Harris' comments are duly noted, and he is absolutely correct in stating that physicians in Ontario and other provinces are required to inform the Ministry of Transportation if there is a concern about driving safety. His observations about drivers "forgetting" not to drive are also most reasonable.

We do plan to update the recommendations at regular intervals, although this will not occur for several years at least.

Christopher J.S. Patterson

Department of Medicine McMaster University Hamilton, Ont.

Submitting letters

Letters may be submitted by mail, courier, email or fax. They must be signed by all authors and limited to 300 words in length. Letters that refer to articles must be received within 2 months of the publication of the article. *CMAJ* corresponds only with the authors of accepted letters. Letters are subject to editing and abridgement.

Note to email users

Email should be addressed to **pubs@cma.ca** and should indicate "Letter to the editor of *CMAJ*" in the subject line. A signed copy must be sent subsequently to *CMAJ* by fax or regular mail. Accepted letters sent by email appear in the Readers' Forum of *CMA Online* (**www.cma.ca**) promptly, as well as being published in a subsequent issue of the journal.

Tea for two (reviewers, that is)

I have again been reading "Tea with Sir William Osler" by Sir David Weatherall. You solemnly say, "This article has been peer reviewed." Who does a peer review of a delightful fantasy by a Regius Professor of Physic? Other Regius professors?

The reviewers missed one statement. Near the top of page 839, Sir David refers to "the marketplace-orientated health care system of North America." This is incorrect. The Canadian health care system is based on government funding.

It makes me wonder: I can see the object of peer review for scientific papers, but what about for a splendid flight of the imagination? Is this type of review a sort of imprimature from a holy office? A statement of correctness?

W. Harding le Riche

Professor Emeritus University of Toronto Toronto, Ont.

Reference

 Weatherall DJ. Tea with Sir William Osler. CMAJ 1999;161(7):837-40.

[Editor's note:]

The article was inadvertently sent to 2 intrepid Oslerians, who graciously went where no reviewer has gone before. Our admission of this fact was also inadvertent.

Alternative therapies

The argument expressed in John Hoey's editorial, "The arrogance of science and the pitfalls of hope," was disappointing and unconvincing. Surely there is more reason for medical scientists to be alarmed by the apparent toleration, even acceptance, of alternative medicine than their frustration because of inadequate research funding. Should we not be critical of evidence supported only by testimonials and the claims of commercial concerns? The scientific

method, with its emphasis on disinterested investigation, careful analysis of data, conservative scepticism and consensual agreement, is the best method human beings have for approaching the truth.²

Hoey is incredibly naïve to think that there must be merit in alternative therapies because those with "a higher level of education" use them. Education (it is sad to admit) does not guarantee the ability to think critically and to use an informed scepticism in considering claims for the effectiveness of treatments.

It is misleading to refer to scientific medicine as "the establishment." This term connotes some rigid ideological position whereas the scientific method has revealed, not just in medicine, a continually changing reality through revisions and self-correction.

If patients are "taking control of the agenda" regarding their treatment, let them do so. But let us not dignify treatment that is completely unsupported by scientific evidence with a medical endorsement. The best physicians can do is to inform patients of the lack of valid evidence supporting claims for alternative treatments (with a few exceptions) and to try to instill in patients an enlightened scepticism. I recommend to many patients The Wellness Letter published by the University of California at Berkeley. Contrast the sceptical (not negative) attitude toward alternative treatments in this publication intended for the lay public with the CMA7 articles criticized by Ian Tannock and David Warr.3

This editorial would have been bad enough coming from any physician. Coming from the Editor of *CMAJ* it makes me sad and embarrassed to be a member of the Canadian Medical Association.

Paul C.S. Hoaken

Psychiatrist Bath, Ont.

References

- Hoey J. The arrogance of science and the pitfalls of hope [editorial]. CMAJ 1998;159(7):803-4.
- Strahler AN. Understanding science. Buffalo (NY): Prometheus Books; 1992.
- Tannock IF, Warr DG. Unconventional therapies for cancer: A refuge from the rules of evidence? CMAJ 1998;159(7):801-2.

[Editor's note:]

O wing to an editorial oversight, this letter was not published in 1999 as scheduled.

Overnight dialysis

n June 1968, a colleague and I re $oldsymbol{\perp}$ ported the case of a 14-year-old malnourished girl with end-stage renal disease who was dialysed daily, except Sunday, for 8–14 hours overnight. She had an excellent response, went home on this regime and eventually received a transplant. In October of the same year, we described our experience with 22 patients on home hemodialysis, 20 of whom were dialyzed 10 hours overnight 3 times weekly with the patient asleep for most of the procedure.2 This regime was initiated by groups at the Royal Free Hospital in England³ and in Seattle.4

My question to Andreas Pierratos, the author of "Nocturnal hemodialysis: dialysis for the new millennium," is this. To which millennium was he referring?

Michael Kaye

Nephrologist Hudson, Que.

References

- Kaye M, Comty C. Nutritional repletion during dialysis. Am J Clin Nutr 1968;21:583-9.
 Kaye M, McDade D, Dufresne L, Comty C.
- Kaye M, McDade D, Dufresne L, Comty C. Hemodialysis at home. CMAJ 1968;99(16):779-85.
- Baillod RA, et al. Proc Eur Dial Transplant Assoc 1965:2:99.
- Curtis FK, Cole JJ, Tyler LL, Scribner BH. Hemodialysis in the home. Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs 1965;11:7-10.
- Pierratos A. Nocturnal hemodialysis: dialysis for the new millennium. CMAJ 1999;161(9):1137.

[The author responds:]

I agree that the Tassin regimen of long dialysis 3 times a week is well known and inspirational. I believe that the systematic study and programmed application of the long and frequent dialysis regimen (nocturnal hemodialysis) will make it the preferred dialysis

modality for a large number of patients. By the end of this year, 60 to 80 patients will be receiving nocturnal hemodialysis in Ontario, and a faster growth is anticipated in the future. This indeed makes it the most exciting dialysis modality in the new millennium.

Andreas Pierratos

Nephrologist Humber River Regional Hospital Toronto, Ont.

The journey through the ICU

As a neurosurgeon who deals with critically ill patients every day, I read a recent article by Deborah Cook and colleagues with interest. Upon reflecting, I felt that understanding of the reasons why advanced life support is withheld, provided, continued or withdrawn in the ICU could be enhanced by using an alternative metaphor: that of the ICU stay and its attendant use of technology as a journey.

At times the journey is complete by the time the patient arrives in the ICU. At other times, however, the journey through the ICU becomes a trip through uncharted waters, and in these cases the ship has no power against the ravages of nature.

In this context, medical technology may be viewed as one means of taking the journey. The withdrawal of support may be viewed as halting one means of transportation, while its continuation may be considered a decision to carry the traveller — the patient — forward. When technology is withheld, it may be considered a means of travel that the traveller cannot or chooses not to use.

Other modes of transportation are possible for journeys. This might be the reason why some patients have positive outcomes in the course of their illness that cannot be explained by contemporary western medicine.

On the journey through the ICU, there are many travellers. They are all affected by the trip, whether they consciously realize it or not. In a journey,