

References

1. Cole MG, McCusker J, Bellavance F, Primeau FJ, Bailey RF, Bonnycastle MJ, et al. Systematic detection and multidisciplinary care of delirium in older medical inpatients: a randomized trial. *CMAJ* 2002;167(7):753-9.
2. Élie L, Cole M, Primeau F, Bellavance F. Delirium risk factors in elderly hospitalized patients. *J Gen Intern Med* 1998;13:204-12.
3. Fick DM, Agostini JV, Inouye SK. Delirium superimposed on dementia: a systematic review. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002;50:1723-32.
4. McCusker J, Cole M, Primeau F. Reliability and validity of a new measure of severity of delirium. *Int Psychogeriatr* 1998;10:421-33.
5. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW. Functional evaluation: the Barthel Index. *Md State Med J* 1965;14(2):61-5.
6. Cole MG, Fenton F, Engelsmann F, Mansouri I. Effectiveness of geriatric psychiatry consultation in an acute care hospital: a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1991;39:1182-8.
7. Sears CL, Charlson ME. The effectiveness of a consultation. Compliance with initial recommendations. *Am J Med* 1983;74:870-6.
8. Cole M, Primeau F, Élie L. Delirium: prevention, treatment, and outcomes studies. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 1998;11:126-37.
9. McCusker J, Cole M, Abrahamowicz M, Primeau F, Belzile E. Delirium predicts 12-month mortality. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;162:457-63.
10. Cole MG, McCusker J. Treatment of delirium in elderly medical inpatients: a challenge for geriatric specialists. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002;50:2101-3.

Medical women in academia: silenced by the system

Anita Palepu and Carol Herbert are to be commended for their thoughtful analysis of the issues facing women in academic medicine.¹ While there is acknowledgement that domestic responsibilities are a major contributor to the career obstacles many women face, there also exists a gender issue at the systems level. Because the academic structure developed at a time when men were its only members, it tends to value stereotypically male characteristics such as autonomy, assertiveness and decisiveness.^{2,3} In such a structure, “women are perceived as having less leadership ability and less competence, and when women exercise assertiveness or try to assume leadership they have to work harder to get attention and they receive more negative reactions.”²

Perhaps women could develop a different type of organizational structure. A survey of faculty at a single US academic institution found that, relative to their male counterparts, women faculty placed less value on accomplishments such as leadership, scholarship and na-

tional recognition and more value on recognition of their work by patients, students and local peers.⁴

This analysis by no means presumes that men intentionally perpetuate the system, nor does it imply that all men benefit from the current structure.³ New strategies must address ways of changing the academic system to best accommodate the strengths of both women and men, rather than trying to mould women to fit an organizational structure that was never designed for them. This goal can be accomplished by a willingness on the part of academia to understand and root out the cultural biases that lead to discrimination. We would all be well served by institutional approaches that address “discrimination by fixing the organization, not the women who work for it.”³

Rose Hatala

Department of Medicine
St. Paul's Hospital
Vancouver, BC

References

1. Palepu A, Herbert CP. Medical women in academia: the silences we keep [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2002;167(8):877-9.
2. Reed V, Buddeberg-Fischer B. Career obstacles for women in medicine: an overview. *Med Ed* 2001;35:139-47.
3. Meyerson DE, Fletcher JK. A modest manifesto for shattering the glass ceiling. *Harvard Bus Rev* 2000;78:127-36.
4. Buckley LM, Sanders K, Shih M, Kallar S, Hampton C. Obstacles to promotion? Values of women faculty about career success and recognition. Committee on Status of Women and Minorities, Virginia Commonwealth University, Medical College of Virginia Campus. *Acad Med* 2000;75(3):283-8.

Early in my career I was blessed with 4 children. Needless to say, this forced me to make major decisions about how I would conduct my medical practice. Although my doctor-husband became involved in hospital and committee work, teaching and a full range of family medicine activities, I decided that I wanted to spend more time with my children while they were young; therefore, I had an exclusively office-based practice.

Now all 4 children are off to university. I have no regrets about how my career evolved. I continued to practise medicine while many of my female colleagues fell by the wayside because they could not balance career and family.

My only regrets echo those expressed in the article by Anita Palepu and Carol Herbert¹ — I “regret the time [I] did not have for [my family] rather than the time that [I] did not have for.”

There are some things that I would have done differently, but in the end I think things turned out well for all of us. Proof of this was a recent family discussion during which we talked about which was our favourite weekday. My eldest, without hesitation, declared Thursday to be his favourite weekday because, as a little guy growing up, he knew that I was always home on Thursdays and we would spend time together and do things. The tears in my eyes confirmed that I made the right decisions.

Shirley Epstein

Family Physician
Toronto, Ont.

Reference

1. Palepu A, Herbert CP. Medical women in academia: the silences we keep [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2002;167(8):877-9.

I commend Anita Palepu and Carol Herbert¹ for challenging us to rethink the orthodoxy that characterizes medical academia. It is through the work of pioneers such as these that not only women, but also visible minorities and other previously restricted demographic groups have entered and succeeded in the academic realm.

Perhaps one of the most critical elements in this transition is the social awareness within student populations at Canadian medical schools. At the University of Western Ontario, I witnessed the development and expansion of several initiatives related to gender, culture and socioeconomics, and from my vantage point as a student leader, I observed this trend at other Canadian medical schools as well.

Central to the success of these projects was the support, both moral and financial, of faculty and administrators. Palepu and Herbert recommend mentorship and innovative administrative portfolios as ways to encourage women to advance their academic careers. Such initiatives send a strong message about the priorities and social conscience of an