References
1. Weir E. Age-related macular degeneration: armed against ARMD. *CMAJ* 2004;170(4):463-4.
2. Ambati J, Ambati BK, Yoo SH, Ianchulev S, Adamis AP. Age-related macular degeneration: etiology, pathogenesis, and therapeutic strategies. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2003;48:257-93.
3. Zhang K, Kniazeva M, Han M, Li W, Yu Z, Yang Z, et al. A 5-bp deletion in *ELOVL4* is associated with two related forms of autosomal dominant macular dystrophy. *Nat Genet* 2001; 27:89-93.
4. Lines MA, Hebert M, McTaggart KE, Flynn SJ, Tennant MT, MacDonald IM. Electrophysiologic and phenotypic features of an autosomal cone-rod dystrophy caused by a novel CRX mutation. *Ophthalmology* 2002;109:1862-70.
5. Allikmets R, Singh N, Sun H, Shroyer NF, Hutchinson A, Chidambaram A, et al. A photoreceptor cell-specific ATP-binding transporter gene (*ABCR*) is mutated in recessive Stargardt macular dystrophy [published erratum appears in *Nat Genet* 1997;17(1):122]. *Nat Genet* 1997;15 (3):236-46.
6. Allikmets R. Simple and complex ABCR: genetic predisposition to retinal disease. *Am J Hum Genet* 2000;67:793-9.
7. Klaver CCW, Wolfs RCW, Assink JJM, van Duijin CM, Hofman A, de Jong PTVM. Genetic risk of age-related maculopathy. Population-based familial aggregation study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1998;116:1646-51.
8. Cho E, Hung A, Willet WC, Spiegelman D, Rimm EB, Seddon JM, et al. Prospective study of dietary fat and the risk of age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;73:209-18.

DOI:10.1053/cmaj.1040494

Universal care

Noralou Roos and associates[1] report mean per capita physician and hospital expenditures for Winnipeg residents for 1999–2000. Although they do not supply overall mean expenditures, the means for the middle socio-economic quintile ($286/person for physician costs and $333/person for hospital costs) are probably close to the overall means.

Data from the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI)[2] suggest that Roos and associates omitted a significant proportion of physician and hospital expenditures from their calculations. According to CIHI data for Manitoba,[2] public expenditures for physicians were $382/person in 1999 and $420/person in 2000; for hospitals these figures were $895/person in 1999 and $944/person in 2000.[2] Total public health expenditures for the province were $2380/person in 1999 and $2621/person in 2000. Overall, it appears from this comparison that Roos and associates[1] included only about a quarter of all public health expenditures in their analysis.

Given evidence from other comparisons of funding and patterns of health care use among regional health authorities,[3,4] it is unlikely that the costs for Winnipeg reported by Roos and associates[1] were that much lower than those for the rest of Manitoba (as in the CIHI data); hence, other reasons for the higher values reported by CIHI are more likely. For example, the analysis by Roos and associates might not have captured salary costs for physicians on salary, and it appears that the majority of hospital costs were not included.[1]

The fact that Roos and associates incorporated only a minority of public health care costs in their calculations does not necessarily reduce the validity of their conclusions, which were based on comparisons among socioeconomic groups and among groups of individuals with different levels of use of health care services. Nevertheless, some caution is needed in the interpretation of their results.

Jon Gerrard
MLA, River Heights
Leader, Manitoba Liberal Party
Winnipeg, Man.

References
1. Roos NP, Forget E, Walld R, MacWilliam L. Does universal comprehensive insurance encourage unnecessary use? Evidence from Manitoba says "no." *CMAJ* 2004;170(2):209-14.
2. National health expenditure trends 1975–2002. Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information; 2002.
3. Manitoba Health annual report 2002-2003. Winnipeg: Manitoba Health; 2003. p. 88-91.
4. Martens PJ, Fransoo R, Burland E, Jebemani L, Burchill C, Black C, et al. The Manitoba RHA indicators atlas: population-based comparison of health and health care use. Winnipeg: Manitoba Centre for Health Policy; 2003.

DOI:10.1053/cmaj.1040197

Noralou P. Roos and associates[1] document the role of poverty as a justifiable factor for increased utilization of health care services, because those of low socioeconomic status have poorer health status. However, the authors do not appear to prove that universal comprehensive insurance does not increase utilization.

For years, during informal discussions at work, continuing medical education courses and social events, I have been hearing family physicians readily acknowledge that their rate of referral to specialists is probably excessive and that they have ordered questionable investigations under perceived pressure from patients and fear of legal action. In these situations, universal health insurance has undoubtedly freed both physician and patient from financial accountability.

To reduce the present financially unsustainable rate of annual growth in health care, Canadian politicians should follow the suggestions of Roos and associates[1] by focusing on evidence-based medicine, physician practice patterns and hospital management, but not user fees and medical savings accounts. Fortunately, recent proposals that might moderately reduce utilization[2] inspire optimism that sufficient funds will be generated justly and fairly. Such funds are urgently required for the financial sustainability of Canada's health care system. Modest annual premiums could be introduced, calculated as a fair percentage of income above the poverty line. In addition, health care services could be treated as a taxable benefit on income above the poverty line, with a maximum calculated as a fair percentage of income.

Canadians accept average annual expenses of more than $1000 for car insurance,[3] $700 for gambling[4,5] and (for anyone who indulges in a large coffee and a doughnut each weekday) $500 at Tim Hortons, but, remarkably, they appear reluctant to preserve health care by paying for a modest portion of their physician and hospital services!

Ross McElroy
Family Physician (retired)
Tavistock, Ont.

References
1. Roos NP, Forget E, Walld R, MacWilliam L. Does universal comprehensive insurance encourage unnecessary use? Evidence in Manitoba says "no." *CMAJ* 2004;170(2):209-14.
2. MacIntosh R. Use "prices" to fix health care. *The National Post* [Toronto] 2000 Jul 28.
3. Mullins M. Two hundred bucks more: the premium cost of public auto insurance. In: *Fraser Alert Auto Insurance Series*. Vancouver: Fraser Institute; 2003 Nov. Available: www.fraserinstitute.ca/admin/boooks/files/auto-insur2.pdf (accessed 2004 Mar 1).
4. Profile — Ontario. In: 2001 census [online]. Ottawa: Statistics Canada; updated 2004 Jan 09. Available: www12.statcan.ca/english/census01