

Appendix 4 (as supplied by the authors)
Study characteristics (n = 25)

First author, Year (Country); <u>Study period</u>	Patient characteristics (patient criteria, sample size, mean age [SD]) and Setting	Study design	Cochrane outcome category investigated: study outcomes	Disease cluster investigated	Intervention vs. Comparator (if applicable)	Intervention category(ies): <i>elements</i>	Follow-up
Alexopoulos GS,³³ 2014 (USA) <u>Study period</u> Not reported	Patient criteria: i) Diagnosed with COPD, and met DSM-IV criteria for unipolar major depression; ii) Score ≥ 14 on HAM-D Sample size: 138 Mean age (SD): NR (NR) Setting: Acute inpatient pulmonary rehabilitation unit	RCT	Health behaviour: Compliance Health behaviour: Health enhancing lifestyle or behaviour Treatment: Adverse outcomes Treatment: Clinical assessment	COPD + Depression	Personalized Intervention for Depressed Patients with COPD (PID-C) vs. Usual care	Coordination of care; Education: <i>CM + ED (Pt) + FR</i>	28 weeks
Alexopoulos GS,³⁴ 2016 <u>Study period</u> Not reported	Patient criteria: i) Diagnosed with COPD, and met DSM-IV criteria for unipolar major depression; ii) Score ≥ 20 on HAM-D Sample size: 101 Mean age (SD): NR (NR) Setting: Acute inpatient pulmonary rehabilitation unit; community	RCT	Treatment: Adverse outcomes Treatment: Clinical assessment	COPD + Depression	Personalized Intervention for Depressed Patients with COPD (PID-C) vs. Problem Solving-Adherence (PSA)	Coordination of care; Education: <i>CM + ED (Pt) + FR</i> Coordination of care; Education; Self-Management: <i>CM + ED (Pt) + FR + SM</i>	26 weeks
Becker A,³⁵ 2011 (Germany) <u>Study period</u> Not reported	Patient criteria: coronary heart disease (CHD), diabetes, or both Sample size: 79 Mean age (SD): 64.5 (12.1) Setting: Five general practitioners in rural areas around Marburg, Germany	Mixed-methods (pre-/post + qualitative)	Evaluation of care: Perceptions and ratings of care or interventions Health behavior: Attitudes Skills acquisition: Self-care skills	CVD + Diabetes	Computer-based Counseling system (CBCS)	Information and health technology; Education; Self-management: <i>ED (Pt) + SM</i>	6 weeks
Begrambekova YL,³⁶ 2015 (Russian Federation) <u>Study period:</u> Not reported	Patient criteria: Patients with CHF and depression Sample size: 253 Mean age (SD): 65 (10.2) Setting: Inpatient	RCT	Treatment: Adverse outcomes (<i>Mortality</i>) Treatment: Adverse outcomes (<i>Composite of all-cause mortality and hospitalization</i>)	CHF + Depression	Disease Management Program (DMP) vs. Control	Coordination of care; Education; Self-management: <i>DM + ED (Pt) + SM</i>	52 weeks
Bernocchi P,³⁸ 2018 <u>Study period:</u> July 2013 – April 2015	Patient criteria: i) Diagnosed with CHF and COPD, ii) Living at home, iii) Cognitively intact (Mini Mental Test Examination 16 or greater), iv) no physical activity limitations, v) expected to live 6 months or more	RCT	Treatment: Clinical Assessments Health Service Delivery: Service utilization Health Status and Wellbeing: Physical health of patient Skills acquisition: Self-care skills	COPD + Depression	Integrated telerehabilitation home-based program (Telereab-HBP) vs. Standard Care	Coordination of Care; Information and communication technology (ICT); Education; <i>DM + ED (Pt) + TH + TM</i>	6 months

Appendix to: Kastner M, Cardoso R, Lai Y, et al. Effectiveness of interventions for managing multiple high-burden chronic diseases in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 2018. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.171391

Copyright © 2018 The Author(s) or their employer(s).

To receive this resource in an accessible format, please contact us at cmajgroup@cmaj.ca.

	Sample size: 112 Mean age (SD): 70 (9) Setting: Community setting; hospital						
Bowles KH,³⁹ 2009 (USA) <u>Study period</u> Not reported	Patient criteria: i) Diagnosed with heart failure or diabetes as one of two main diagnoses, and receiving home care; ii) Age \geq 55 years; iii) Cognitively intact; iv) Can see and hear well enough to use equipment; v) English speaking, and has conventional (fixed) telephone. Sample size: 303 Mean age (SD): 75 (NR) Setting: Four home care agencies in Pennsylvania ranging in size from 5000 to 16000 patients/year, most being rural	RCT	Health status and well being: Physical health of patient or caregiver Health service delivery: Service utilization Knowledge and understanding: Patient knowledge acquisition Treatment: Clinical assessment	CHF + Diabetes	Telephone (in-person visits + telephone) vs. TM (in-person visits + TM) vs. Control (in-person visits only)	Information and health technology; Education: <i>DM + ED (Pr) + TM</i>	60 and 90 days
Brodaty H,⁴⁰ 2003 (Australia) <u>Study period</u> Not reported	Patient criteria: i) Residing in nursing home for at least one month; ii) Significant cognitive impairment (AMTS score \leq 7); iii) At least three depressive symptoms on the EBAS-DEP or defined psychotic symptoms on the BEHAVE-AD; iv) Reach predetermined cutoff criteria (Table 1) on at least two depression scales or two psychosis scales. Sample size: 86 Mean age (SD): 82.9 (8.89) Setting: 11 nursing homes in Sydney, Australia	RCT	Treatment: Clinical assessment	Dementia + Depression	Psychogeriatric Case Management vs. Consultative general practice vs. Control	Coordination of care; Education: <i>CM + CP + ED (Pr) + TEAM</i>	12 weeks
Doyle C,⁴¹ 2017 <u>Study period</u> Jan 2012 – Dec 2014	Patient criteria: i) COPD, ii) 45 years or older, iii) scored 8 or more on the HADS or 10 or more on the PHQ-9, iv) could hear over the phone Sample size: 110 Mean age (SD): 68.5 (9.4) Setting: telephone	RCT	Treatment: Clinical assessments Health Status and well being: Physical Health of Patient Skills acquisition: Self-care skills	COPD + depression or anxiety	Telephone-administered CBT Vs. Telephone-administered Befriending	Cognitive-behavioural: <i>DM (psychosocial) + SM + TH</i>	8 weeks
Kiosses DN⁴³ 2015 (USA) <u>Study period</u> Not reported	Patient criteria: Major depression and mild or moderate dementia Sample size: 39 Mean age (SD): 82.5 (7.16) Setting: Community agencies of the Weill	RCT	Treatment: Clinical assessments	Depression + Dementia	Problem Adaptation Therapy (PATH) vs. Supportive Therapy for Cognitively Impaired Older Adults (ST-CI)	Cognitive-behavioural: <i>DM (psychosocial) + SM</i>	12 weeks

	Cornell Institute of Geriatric Psychiatry at a University hospital in NY, USA.						
Lamers F, ⁴⁴ 2010 (Netherlands) <u>Study period</u> 2 years	Patient criteria: i) Diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes mellitus or COPD; ii) Minor depression, mild-to-moderate major depression or dysthymia (according to MINI); iii) Self-reporting at least two symptoms, loss of interest or depressed mood, present for more than half of the days; iv) Age \geq 60 years, and community-dwelling. Sample size: 361 Mean age (SD): NR (NR) Setting: 89 primary care practices in the south of Netherlands	RCT	Health status and well-being: Psychosocial outcomes Treatment: Clinical assessment	Depression + Diabetes	Nurse-led Minimal Psychological Intervention (MPI) vs. Usual care	Cognitive-behavioural; Education; Self-management: <i>ED (Pr) + SM (Home)</i>	36 weeks
Lin EHB, ⁴⁵ 2003 (USA) <u>Study period</u> 1999 – 2001	Patient criteria: i) Major depression or dysthymia (according to DSM-IV); ii) Age \geq 60 years; iii) Expects to use one participating primary care clinic as main source of general medical services over one year. Sample size: 1001 Mean age (SD): 72 (7.4) Setting: 18 primary care clinics from eight health care organizations in five states across the United States	RCT	Health status and well-being: Physical health of patient Health status and well-being: Psychosocial outcomes Treatment outcomes: Clinical assessment	Arthritis + Depression	IMPACT Intervention (collaborative care approach) vs. Usual care	Coordination of care: <i>CM + DM + TEAM</i>	52 weeks
Martin-Lesende I, ⁴⁶ 2013 (Spain) <u>Study period</u> Unclear	Patient criteria: i) Diagnosed with HF and/or CLD; ii) At least two hospital admissions in previous year, with at least one admission associated with the two conditions of interest; iii) Age \geq 14 years; iv) Receiving home care due to lack of or severe difficulties with mobility. Sample size: 58 Mean age (SD): 81 (7.5) Setting: 20 health centres in Bilbao	RCT	Health service delivery: Service utilization Treatment outcomes: Adverse outcomes	CHF + COPD	Home telemonitoring vs. Standard care	Information and health technology; Education: <i>ED + TM</i>	52 weeks
McSweeney K, ⁴⁷ 2011 (Australia) <u>Study period</u>	Patient criteria: All permanent residents. Sample size: 44	Cluster RCT	Treatment outcomes: Clinical assessment	Dementia + Depression	Specialist multidisciplinary consultation vs. Usual care	Coordination of care: <i>CP + TEAM</i>	15 weeks

NR	Mean age (SD): 86.49 (6.95) Setting: Aged care facilities						
Morgan MA, ⁴⁸ 2013 (Australia) <u>Study period</u> NR	Patient criteria: i) Patients with CHD and/or Type 2 diabetes mellitus; ii) Clinic has practice nurse (PN) to provide the collaborative care and can identify eligible registered patients. Sample size: 317 Mean age (SD): NR (NR) Setting: Primary care practices	Cluster RCT	Health behavior: Compliance Health behaviour: Health enhancing lifestyle or behavioural outcomes Knowledge and understanding: Provider level of knowledge or skills Treatment: Clinical assessment Treatment: Physiological measures	CVD + Diabetes	TrueBlue Model of Collaborative Care (nurse-led collaborative care) vs. Control	Coordination of care; Education; Self-management: <i>CM + CP + ED (Pr) + SM</i>	26 weeks
Naik AD, ⁴⁹ 2012 (USA) <u>Study period</u> NR	Patient criteria: i) Uncontrolled diabetes and co-morbid, clinically elevated depressive symptoms; ii) Living in rural areas. Sample size: 8 Mean age (SD): 62.1 (2.85) Setting: Patients' homes	Pilot study	Treatment: Physiological measures Treatment: Clinical assessment Health status and well being: Psychological health of patient	Depression + Diabetes	Healthy Outcomes through Patient Empowerment (HOPE)	Self-management; Education; Self-management: <i>CP + ED (Pt + Pr) + FR + SM + TEAM</i>	26 weeks
Noel HC, ⁵⁰ 2004 (USA) <u>Study period</u> NR	Patient criteria: i) Documented high use of healthcare resources; ii) Barriers to accessing healthcare services due to geographic, economic, physical, linguistic, technologic, and/or cultural factors. Sample size: 104 Mean age (SD): 71 (NR) Setting: VA Connecticut Healthcare System	RCT	Health service delivery: Health economic outcomes Health Service Delivery: Service utilization Health Status and Well Being: Physical health of patient Health Status and Well Being: Psychosocial outcomes Treatment: Physiological measures	CHF + COPD + Diabetes	Home telemedicine (telehealth) vs. Control	Information and health technology; Education: <i>CM + ED (Pt) + TM</i>	26 weeks
Pols AD, ⁵¹ 2017 <u>Study period</u> Jan 2103- Nov 2015	Patient criteria: i) CHF and/or DM2, ii) sub-threshold depression (>6 PHQ-9 score), iii) not taking anti-depressants, iv) not diagnosed with a psychotic illness, cognitive impairment, major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder Sample size: 236 Mean age (SD): 67.5 (10) Setting: Netherlands; primary care centres	RCT (cluster)	Treatment outcomes: clinical assessments	DM2 and/or CHF and sub-threshold depression	Stepped Care Program (Step-Dep) vs. Usual care	Coordination of Care; Cognitive-behavioural: <i>CPM + DM (psychosocial) + ED (pt)</i>	12 months
Schnipper JL, ⁵³ 2010 (USA) <u>Study period</u> March – November 2007	Patient criteria: i) With CAD or DM on the electronic health record (EHR) problem list. ii) Had a visit with a primary care physician (PCP)	RCT	Knowledge and Understanding: Provider level of knowledge or skills	CVD + Diabetes	CDSS (CAD/DM SmartForm) vs. Usual care	Information and health technology; Education: <i>DM + ED (Pr) + REM (Pr)</i>	4 weeks

	<p>belonging to one of the study practices from the date the practice was given the Smart Form until the end of the study.</p> <p>Sample size: 7009 Mean age (SD): NR (NR) Setting: 10 adult primary care practices at Brigham and Women's Hospital, and Massachusetts General Hospital</p>						
<p>Sran M,⁵⁴ 2016</p> <p><u>Study period</u> September 2006 – April 2011</p>	<p>Patient criteria: i) postmenopausal women, ii) 55 years and older, iii) osteoporosis OR low bone mass, iv) urinary incontinence Sample size: 48 Mean age (SD): NR (NR) Setting: osteoporosis clinic (outpatient)</p>	RCT	<p>Treatment: Clinical assessment Skills acquisition: Self-care skills</p>	Osteoporosis + Urinary Incontinence	Physical therapy vs. control (osteoporosis education)	<p>Coordination of care; Education; Self-management: DM + ED (Pt) + SM</p>	1 year
<p>Unutzer J,⁵⁵ 2008 (USA)</p> <p><u>Study period</u> Unclear</p>	<p>Patient criteria: i) Depression severity score ≥ 10 on PHQ-9; ii) Score ≥ 2 on at least one of two core depression symptoms (depressed mood or anhedonia); iii) Self-reported functional impairment from osteoarthritis pain. Sample size: 13 Mean age (SD): 72.2 (8.5) Setting: University affiliated primary care clinics</p>	Controlled before/after study	<p>Treatment: Clinical assessment Skills acquisition: Self-care skills</p>	Depression + Osteoarthritis	Adapted IMPACT-DP intervention (nurse administered case management supporting primary care)	<p>Coordination of care; Education; Self-management: CM + DM + ED (Pt + Pr) + SM + TEAM</p>	26 weeks
<p>White KM,⁵⁶ 2012 (Australia)</p> <p><u>Study period</u> NR</p>	<p>Patient criteria: i) Predominantly older adults diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes or CVD; ii) Voluntarily recruited from seven community health centres in Queensland, Australia. Sample size: 183 Mean age (SD): 61.17 (8.81) Setting: Patients from seven community health centres in Queensland, Australia</p>	RCT	<p>Health behaviour: Health enhancing life-style or behavior outcomes</p>	CVD + Diabetes	Extended-Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) Intervention vs. Control	<p>Cognitive-behavioural; Education; Self-management: ED (Pt + Pr) + SM</p>	6 weeks
<p>Whitten P,⁵⁷ 2007 (USA)</p> <p><u>Study period</u> 2002 - 2003</p>	<p>Patient criteria: i) Diagnosed with COPD and/or CHF; ii) Prescribed home health care services from the Marquette General Health System in Michigan. Sample size: 67</p>	Mixed-methods (RCT + Qualitative)	<p>Evaluation of care: Satisfaction Health status and well-being: Psychosocial outcomes</p>	CHF + COPD	Home telehealth vs. Control (conventional home care)	<p>Information and health technology: DM + TM</p>	10.7 weeks

	<p>Mean age (SD): 76 (13) Setting: Marquette General Health System in Michigan's Upper Peninsula</p>						
<p>Williams A,⁵⁸ 2012a (Australia) <u>Study period</u> 2008 - 2009</p>	<p>Patient criteria: i) Combined diagnosis of Stages 2-4 CKD (15 < eGFR ≤60), Type 1 or 2 diabetes, and evidence of CVD (hypertension, heart failure, hyperlipidaemia) in the medical record; ii) Requires routine outpatient specialist clinic appointments at two nephrology outpatient clinics; iii) Age ≥ 18years, and of either gender; iv) Non-English speaking background with preference to speak Greek, Italian or Vietnamese at home. Sample size: 48 Mean age (SD): 74.31 (8.37) Setting: Nephrology outpatient clinics at two public tertiary metropolitan hospitals</p>	RCT	<p>Treatment: Physiological measures Health Behaviour: Compliance Skills acquisition: Self-care skills</p>	CKD + Diabetes	MESMI (Medication Self-Management Intervention) vs. Usual care	<p>Self-management; Education: <i>DM + ED (Pt + Pr) + SM</i></p>	39 weeks
<p>Williams JW,⁶⁰ 2004 (USA) <u>Study period</u> July 1999 to August 2001</p>	<p>Patient criteria: depressed pts with diabetes, > 60 years older Sample size: 1801 Mean age (SD): 71.2 (7.5) Setting: Seven study sites representing 8 diverse health care organizations with a total of 18 primary care clinics in 5 states</p>	RCT	<p>Health behaviour: Compliance Health behaviour: Health enhancing life-style or behaviour outcomes Health service delivery: Health economic outcomes Health status and well-being: Physical health of patient Health status and well-being: Psychological health of patient Treatment outcomes: Clinical assessment Treatment outcomes: Physiological measures</p>	Depression + Diabetes	IMPACT intervention vs. Usual care	<p>Coordination of care; Education: <i>CP + DM + ED (Pt + Pr) + TEAM</i></p>	52 weeks
<p>Wu CJ,⁶¹ 2012 (country and study period not reported) <u>Study period</u> August 2009 to December 2010</p>	<p>Patient criteria: aged over 18 years, admitted to CCU with a critical cardiac event, had a previous diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, had a mobile phone and were able to read and speak English Sample size: 28 Mean age (SD): intervention 62.7 years (13); intervention 71.5 years (9.9)</p>	RCT	<p>Knowledge and understanding: Patient knowledge acquisition Skills acquisition: Self-care skills</p>	CHF + Diabetes	Peer support based Cardiac-Diabetes Self-Management Program (Peer-CDSMP) vs. Usual care	<p>Self-management; Education: <i>ED (Pt + Pr) + REM (Pt) + SM</i></p>	2 weeks

	Setting: CCU at an acute hospital and then the participant's home						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

*SD = Standard deviation; NR = Not Reported; RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial; HAM-D = Hamilton depression rating scale; COPD = Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CHD = Coronary heart disease; CHF = Congestive heart failure; CVD = Cardiovascular disease; CKD = Chronic kidney disease; DSM-IV = Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition; AMTS = Abbreviated mental test score; EBAS-DEP = Even briefer assessment scale for depression; BEHAVE-AD = Behavioural pathology in Alzheimer's disease; MINI = Mini international neuropsychiatric interview ; SIGH-D = Structured interview guide for the Hamilton depression rating scale (HAM-D); MMSE = Mini mental state examination; PHQ-9 = Patient health questionnaire; RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial; DSM-IV = Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition; MINI = Mini international neuropsychiatric interview;

† **EPOC** Outcome categorization taxonomy