

Appendix 2 (as supplied by the authors): Reforms to statutory regulation LDTs across four jurisdictions

| | US | EU | Australia | Canada |
|--|--|--|--|--------|
| Effort to create statutory regulation for market access for LDTs | The US FDA has attempted to assert regulatory authority over this field since 1992. A current congressional bill attempts some regulation. | The EU recently introduced a new regulation for in vitro diagnostics in 2017, covering many LDTs. To take full effect by 2022. | Australia's Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) began developing a system to track and regulate these technologies in the 2000s, relying heavily on laboratory accreditation. | None |
| Effort to track LDTs | The FDA has written warning letters but there is no comprehensive effort to track tests and publicly identify them. | Currently unclear whether the EU will publish a registry of the LDT tests covered by the new regulation. | Track all LDTs in a non-public registry and track high-risk LDTs in a public registry. | None |